

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Skidmore
<b>Given Name</b>	Helen
<b>Person ID</b>	1285867
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Skidmore
<b>Given Name</b>	Helen
<b>Person ID</b>	1285867
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Planned new housing numbers are over and above the amount required - these needs reviewing.</p> <p>I understand that parks such as Queens Park were redesignated as greenbelt to offset greenbelt land used to build, so no actual reduction in the amount of green spaces being built on.</p> <p>No consideration has been given to the impact of covid on changes to people"s housing requirements or the fact that many businesses have moved to remote working &amp; are releasing buildings that could be used for housing or demolished to add to brownfield acreage.</p> <p>It appears that no consideration has been given to the impact of thousands of additional residents on health &amp; other services in the area, or congestion (which is already bad near Hopwood) without an additional 1,200 homes" worth of vehicles being added to the road network.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>Reassessment of housing need in terms of volume &amp; changes in requirements due to covid.</p> <p>Review of available land &amp; buildings following new ways of working.</p> <p>Review and commitment to provide amenities and health facilities to meet needs of the thousands of additional residents.</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Skidmore
<b>Given Name</b>	Helen
<b>Person ID</b>	1285867

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b>	6. Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	How can you assure sustainable transport in the area when you are adding huge amounts of warehousing & homes, all of which come with thousands of additional vehicles which will further pollute & congest and area which already suffers from these issues.
<b>Family Name</b>	Skidmore
<b>Given Name</b>	Helen
<b>Person ID</b>	1285867
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not</b>	Unnecessary destruction of greenbelt, lack of real effort to build on brownfield first, demand projections unsound.

<b>to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Skidmore
<b>Given Name</b>	Helen
<b>Person ID</b>	1285867
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 1 Valuing Important Landscapes
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The massive greenbelt destruction is completely at odds with this chapter & while plans particularly at Hopwood carry on this is a real insult.
<b>Family Name</b>	Skidmore
<b>Given Name</b>	Helen
<b>Person ID</b>	1285867
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 10 Green Belt
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details</b>	No greenbelt should be built on until all brownfield is exhausted.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Heywood & Middleton will soon merge into one big urban sprawl due to the completely avoidable destruction of green space. Residents' views on this have been completely ignored.
<b>Family Name</b>	Skidmore
<b>Given Name</b>	Helen
<b>Person ID</b>	1285867
<b>Title</b>	JPA 1.1 Heywood / Pilsworth (Northern Gateway)
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>No greenbelt should be built on until all brownfield is exhausted.</p> <p>Heywood &amp; Middleton will soon merge into one big urban sprawl due to the completely avoidable destruction of green space. Residents' views on this have been completely ignored.</p> <p>A huge amount of additional congestion &amp; pollution will result from these plans.</p>